## **RECORD OF BRIEFING**

### SYDNEY SOUTH PLANNING PANEL

#### **BRIEFING DETAILS**

BRIEFING DATE / TIME	Monday, 30 August 2021, 1pm – 1.45pm
LOCATION	Teleconference

#### **BRIEFING MATTER**

PPSSSH-78 – SUTHERLAND SHIRE – DA21/0562 - 3-23 Kingsway, Cronulla - Demolition of existing structures and construction of a mixed use development with 3 level basement parking, two storey podium including ground floor retail and first floor commercial.

#### **PANEL MEMBERS**

IN ATTENDANCE	Helen Lochhead (Chair), Stuart McDonald, Heather Warton, Jack Boyd, Peter Scaysbrook
APOLOGIES	None
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	None

#### **OTHER ATTENDEES**

COUNCIL ASSESSMENT STAFF	Carine Elias, Mark Adamson
DPIE STAFF	Leanne Harris, Michelle Burns

# **KEY ISSUES DISCUSSED**

The Panel was briefed by Council's consultant. The site is an amalgamation of a number of lots on the Kingsway, Cronulla. The context is diverse in scale, age, and character. The development is for a mixed-use development with a 2-storey podium accommodating a range of retail tenancies at street level, and commercial above, with 2 residential towers above the landscaped podium level. A site specific DCP anticipated a more incremental development of this block rather than a consolidated site development as proposed.

A range of issues were raised:

- The proposal deviates from the site specific DCP on several counts:
  - o DCP envisaged a thru site link. The proposal does not accommodate this.
  - The street wall to the Kingsway is staggered rather than reinforcing the street alignment as envisaged.
  - The towers are staggered and oriented to the view rather than aligned to the street and block which is considered inconsistent with DCP intent.
  - o A clear case for these deviations from the DCP needs to be demonstrated.
- On street loading bay on Abel Place is not supported. This should be accommodated on-site
- Interface to adjoining properties along north-west boundary with a 6m wall that is over scaled and has
  insufficient deep soil for landscaping does not sufficiently mitigate impacts of this wall. A more
  generous landscaped buffer could be investigated

- Non-compliance with LEP height. A CI 4.6 variation in support of additional height has been submitted. Part of the top-level that includes habitable rooms plus plant and equipment exceeds the height limit. The CI 4.6 case needs to better reasoned.
- Built form alleviates some overshadowing but improves other aspects
- Geotechnical analysis of impacts over Sydney Water sewer main is required
- Ausgrid concerns over servicing provisions of the site need to be addressed
- Relocation of hydraulic system is also required by the Govt agencies that may also have impacts on the site layout and design
- SEPP 55 investigation and considerations need to be demonstrated
- SEPP 65 considerations need to be demonstrated notably privacy, overlooking, and overshadowing of neighbouring properties

**TENTATIVE PANEL MEETING DATE: NA**